

# Problems with In-Space Additive Manufacturing

**P. Smith<sup>1</sup>, A. Clare<sup>2</sup>**

1- Advanced Manufacturing Technology, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, NG 7 2RD, UK

2- Advanced Component Engineering Laboratory (ACEL), University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

*Corresponding author: adam.clare@nottingham.ac.uk*

In-space manufacturing is vital to long duration space missions [1-4], it is required to ensure mission continuity when resupply becomes impossible [3, 4] such as on a journey to Mars. With pre-emptive spare parts for the ISS costing \$1.241 billion [5] there is also potential for substantial cost savings if parts can be manufactured on demand in-space, using material-only inventory transport costs can also be dramatically reduced [6]. Additive manufacturing is presented as a solution to these issues, particularly as low-waste processes are essential [4]. The microgravity environment causes significant challenges and opportunities for additive manufacturing. Successful terrestrial processes, such as powder bed fusion methods become extremely challenging due to the difficulty of powder containment in microgravity [4]. Many additive manufacturing methods have not been proven for space applications without considerable post-processing, even when operating on Earth. Post-processing and quality assurance are essential in conventional manufacturing, however with limited human involvement these processes will need to be significantly automated to be successful [4]. A lack of convective heat flow in microgravity will also mean systems must be adapted to suit, microstructures will develop differently, particularly as microgravity has direct effects on microstructural segregation [6]. Further considerations include designing systems which are capable of operating within the strict limitations on infrastructure, such as power, mass and data transfer [7]. There are also areas for new manufacturing methods, including depositing materials regardless of orientation [7] and producing parts which do not need to withstand their own weight. An additional opportunity is that parts will not need to survive the demanding environment of launch, meaning the mechanical properties of such parts can be reduced. The presentation will explore these problems and opportunities in technical detail, particularly with consideration to laser processes including laser direct energy deposition and selective laser melting.

[1] K. Taminger, R. Hafley and D. Dicus (2002) Solid Freeform Fabrication: An Enabling Technology for Future Space Missions, International Conference on Metal Powder Deposition for Rapid Manufacturing, pp. 51-60

[2] J. Dunn, D. Hutchinson, A. Kemmer, A. Ellsworth, M. Snyder, W. White and B. Blair (2010) 3D Printing in Space: Enabling New Markets and Accelerating the Growth of Orbital Infrastructure, Space Manufacturing 14 Proceedings.

[3] T. Prater, M. Werkheiser, F. Ledbetter and K. Morgan (2018) In-Space Manufacturing at NASA Marshall Space Flight Center: A Portfolio of Fabrication and Recycling Technology Development for the International Space Station, AIAA SPACE and Astronautics Forum and Exposition

[4] T. Prater, N. Werkheiser, Q. Bean, F. Ledbetter, H. Soohoo, M. Wilkerson and B. Hipp (2017) NASA's In-Space Manufacturing Project: Development of a Multimaterial Multiprocess, AIAA Space and Astronautics Forum and Exposition

[5] NASA Office of Inspector General (2002) International Space Station Spare Parts Costs, no. IG-02-011

[6] R.M. German, Y. Liu, A. Griffo (1997) Gravitational Effects on Grain Coarsening during Liquid Phase Sintering, Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, vol. 28, pp. 215-222

[7] National Research Council of the National Academies (2014) 3D Printing in Space (The National Academic Press), Transitioning Additive Manufacturing Technology to the Space Environment